3	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/936,457	WHITWORTH, IAN JAMES
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jessica L. Rossi	1733
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u>.</u>
(1) <u>Jessica L. Rossi</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Cutitta.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 January 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
an		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		D DIOCULOSED
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENEI See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar 	e examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)
(Applicant	whhilean a izehiesenrania s	ignature – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed applicants that grouping of claims done by another examiner in orginal restriction was incorrect and that article claims 22-23 should have been put in article Group III, not method Group I. However, Applicants maintained their election of Group I. Examiner also informed Applicants that different reasons for restriction would be set forth in the present office action, thereby making the restriction requirement non-final..